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Plan for today

- Systematic review findings
- Focus group study findings
- Next steps for Phase II
  - Blood serum study
  - Cross-sectional survey
- Questions
Community Reference Panel

• 2 members from Williamtown
  – Ann Clout
  – Rob Roseworne
• 2 members from Oakey
• 3 members from Katherine, with the potential to add 2 more Aboriginal community members
ANU PFAS Systematic Review
PFAS Health Study Phase I
2016–2018

Professor Martyn Kirk
National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health
What is a systematic review?

• Clear research question
• Review of published research
  – Including grey literature
• Risk of bias assessment
  – Research design, methods and results
• Documented methods
  – Review can be reproduced or updated
The PFAS systematic review

1. To review what is currently known about the effect of PFAS chemicals on human health
2. To assess the risk of bias in the reviewed research
Searching for research

1. Key words related to PFAS exposure and human health
2. Search research databases
3. Download all research related to key words
4. Remove duplicates
5. Search titles, abstract and full-text
Research papers included

• Searched 7,205 research papers
• Final review of 221 papers
Research papers included

• 19 different types of PFAS
• 3 different types of exposure
  – Occupational exposure
  – Community-wide exposure
  – General population studies
• 148 health outcomes
  – These were across 12 broad categories
Health outcome categories

1. Neonatal, infant and maternal
2. Reproductive
3. Metabolic
4. Thyroid
5. Neurodevelopmental and neurophysiological
6. Cancers
7. Diabetes
8. Cardiovascular
9. Overweight and obesity
10. Immunological
11. Skeletal
12. Respiratory
Evaluating the strength of evidence

- Sufficient evidence
- Limited evidence
- Inadequate evidence
- Evidence suggesting lack of a health effect
Sufficient evidence of a health effect

• High cholesterol levels (PFOA, PFOS)
  – Increased total cholesterol level
Limited evidence of a health effect

- High uric acid level (PFOA, PFOS)
- Reduced kidney function (PFOA, PFOS)
- Chronic kidney disease (PFOA, PFOS)
- Kidney and testicular cancer (PFOA)
- Effects on the immune response to vaccinations
  - Diphtheria (PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFDA)
  - Rubella (PFOA, PFOS)
Summary

- This is an emerging area of research
- These results can change as more studies are published
- We are using these findings to guide our blood serum study, cross-sectional survey and data linkage project
PFAS focus group study
PFAS Health Study Phase II
2018

Professor Cathy Banwell
National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health
Study aim

• To understand participants’ views and experiences of PFAS contamination in their local area
  – The focus was on participants’ health concerns
Focus group sessions

• **Oakey** – January/February 2018
  – 3 community groups, 1 Defence

• **Williamtown** – February 2018
  – 3 community groups, 1 Defence

• **Katherine** – August 2018
  – 3 community groups, 1 Defence, 3 Aboriginal groups
Participants

• 180 participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oakey</th>
<th>Williamtown</th>
<th>Katherine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36 participants</td>
<td>46 participants</td>
<td>98 participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Most participants were ....
  – Over 50 years old
  – Retired or working full-time
  – Had lived in the area for more than 10 years
Main discussion themes

- Physical health concerns
- Mental health concerns
- Environmental testing
- PFAS blood testing
- Financial concerns
- Community trust and cohesion
- Local conditions and exposure pathways
- Ways forward
Health concerns

- Children’s health
- Adult’s health, cancer, deterioration of existing conditions

“not knowing” about physical health contributes to:
- Stress and anxiety
Uncertainty and lack of trust

- Environmental testing and exposure pathways
- PFAS blood testing
- Financial situation

“Stuck” “Trapped”
Ways forward

• Transparent and consistent information
• Guidance on what to do
Study outcomes

• A report has been prepared and will shortly be published on our website
• The information collected has been used to develop questions for the cross-sectional survey
Next Steps

PFAS Health Study Phase II

2019

Dr Katherine Todd
National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health
Survey and blood serum study

• Cross-sectional survey
  – Survey available online or hard copy (with a pre-paid return envelope included)
  – Opens early 2019
  – Closes mid 2019

• PFAS voluntary blood testing program
  – Available until 30 April 2019
Current number of blood samples

>1,500 blood samples

- Williamtown
- Katherine
- Oakey
Why should you participate?

• Completing a survey helps identify
  – PFAS exposure pathways
  – Health outcomes, including chronic illness, cancer and mental health

• The survey will be linked with PFAS blood serum results
VBTP participants

• If you have already participated in the VBTP you will be posted a hardcopy questionnaire & a link to the online version, should you wish to complete it online.
Study findings

- Combined blood serum & cross-sectional survey final report
  - Mid 2020
Data linkage

• Look at rates of health outcomes that may be associated with PFAS exposure
• See whether these are higher among people who have ever lived in Williamtown, Oakey and Katherine compared with the general population
• Involves linking Medicare address data from 1984 with cancer data, death data, perinatal data and hospital data sets
• Finalised December 2020
Contacting the ANU Research Team

PFAS Health Study Coordinator
Katherine.Todd@anu.edu.au
(02) 6125 9497

Study Email & Website
Pfas.Health.Study@anu.edu.au
Nceph.anu.edu.au/pfas-health-study